

Burden and Risk factors of Kidney Injury in North-Central, Nigeria: A Cross-sectional Survey

Oladele CO¹, Bello OA², Olaosebikan OF³, Ahmed G², Goro AA⁴, Dashe R⁴, Ajimuda SA⁴, Olurode YA⁵, Bello AH⁶ and Aiyedun OS¹

1: Department of Internal Medicine, Federal Medical Centre, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria.

2: Department of Paediatrics, Federal Medical Centre, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria.

3: Department of Chemical Pathology, Federal Medical Centre, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria.

4: Department of Nursing Services, Federal Medical Centre, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria.

5: Department of Anaesthesia, Federal Medical Centre, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria.

6: Department of Internal Medicine, University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital, Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria.

INTRODUCTION

Kidney diseases are now more common globally. This encompasses acute kidney injury described as an abrupt decrease in kidney function encompassing both structural damage and impairment in function¹ as well as Chronic Kidney Disease (CKD) which is the precipice of most renal disorders²⁻⁴. Chronic Kidney Disease refers to bilateral (or single in case of a solitary kidney or transplanted kidney) structural and functional kidney damage occurring for 3 months or more with or without glomerular filtration rate of < 60 ml/min/1.73m^{2.5}. The burden of kidney injury occurring in the community is grossly underestimated in resource poor settings despite studies suggesting increased prevalence in Sub-Saharan Africa⁵. The situation is made worse with poor health facilities, prevalence of poor socio-cultural practices and poor access to health care in this part of the world⁶, therefore the need for preventive measures to reduce the burden and early intervention to mitigate complications of the disease.

While community based data abound in developed countries⁸⁻¹¹, studies in Nigeria are mainly hospital based with some recently reported prevalences ranging from 1.6% and 12.4%¹²⁻¹⁴. Community based survey in South-Western and

South-Eastern Nigeria reported prevalence of 14.2% and 11.2% respectively. The paucity of community based studies on the burden and risk factors of community acquired kidney injury in Nigeria makes it difficult to appreciate the magnitude of the problem. This serves as hindrance in regards to defining a policy direction or putting in place appropriate preventive protocols. Studying kidney injury acquired in the community in other localities in Nigeria, such as Northern Nigeria where lifestyle and culture differs from the South will assist in this regards.

This study therefore is aimed at examining the burden and risk factors for kidney injury in Mokwa, Niger state, North-Central Nigeria.

METHODS

This is a cross-sectional survey carried out to commemorate the 2017 World Kidney day. The study was carried out by the Kidney study team of Federal medical Centre, Bida. Niger state. The locality of the study was Mokwa Local Government area (LGA) in Niger State, Northern Nigeria. It is the most populated LGA in the state and the major language in the community is Nupe¹⁵. It serves as a popular transit town for heavy duty vehicles travelling to the

Corresponding author: Bello, OA, Department of Paediatrics, Federal Medical Centre, Bida, Niger State, Nigeria. E-Mail: iabdulafeez@yahoo.com, 08033861311

Southern part of Nigeria. Prior sensitization and notification was carried out at Mokwa town and all the villages within the LGA. This process was facilitated by the Head of Department of Health at the LGA and the health representatives of all the villages. Ethical approval was obtained from the Research and Ethics department of Niger State Ministry of Health.

The study location was at the Primary Health Care centre in Mokwa. A consent form was attested to by all participants following a health promotion exercise and explanation of what the study is about to the population. A convenient sampling method was adopted and a structured questionnaire which has been face validated was administered to each of the participants in an orderly fashion. Members of the team that could speak Nupe administered the questionnaires being the predominant language spoken by respondents.

Weight was measured using standardized bathroom scale in kilogram (a known weight material was used for standardization). Height was measured with a stadiometer in metre. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated with the formula $\text{weight (kg)}/\text{height}^2(\text{M})$. Participants were classified as Normal BMI: $19 - 24.9 \text{ kg/M}^2$, Overweight: $25 - 29.9 \text{ kg/M}^2$, Obese: $\geq 30 \text{ kg/M}^2$ in line with WHO classification¹⁶

Blood pressure was measured using a mercury sphygmomanometer of appropriate cuff. Participants were seated in a chair and machine placed at level of the heart. The blood pressure was measured on the left arm twice to ensure reliability. Participants with systolic blood pressure (SBP) $\geq 140 \text{ mmHg}$ and or diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90 \text{ mmHg}$ were labelled as hypertensive in line with the WHO / ISH guideline¹⁷.

A universal bottle was provided for each participant for urine analysis. Test was performed on each urine sample. All urine strip reagent area was immersed in urine sample provided, strips are then placed horizontally and compared with

standardized colour chart, changes are read at the time specified by the manufacturer of the strips¹⁸. The following findings were considered significant: Proteinuria $\geq 1+$, Haemoglobinuria $\geq 1+$, Leucocyte: $\geq 1+$, Nitrite: $\geq 1+$.

Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated from the serum creatinine using the CKD-EPI equation¹⁹.

Chronic kidney disease was classified using the Kidney disease improving global outcomes definition and classification⁴.

All obtained data was entered into a spreadsheet and analysis was done using statistical package for social sciences version 21. Prevalences were expressed in proportion and percentage. Mean \pm SD of normal variables were calculated. Cross tabulation was used to calculate significance in relation to risk variables. Logistic regression, confidence interval and odds ratio was used to predict the significance of risk factors for CKD in the population. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Of the 265 participants who consented only 246 completed the questionnaire and had all laboratory investigations done. The mean age of the population was 43.8 ± 15.9 , age range was 17-95 years. Their mean weight was 67.5 ± 17.3 kilograms while the mean height was 1.6 ± 0.1 metres. There were 53 (21.2%) females among the subjects while 193 (78.8%) were males. The gender compared well with age with $p = 0.142$ (0.133-0.150).

Proteinuria ($\geq 1+$) was seen in 26 (10.6%) while haemoglobinuria ($\geq 1+$) was seen in 33 (13.4%) of the subjects. Chronic kidney disease defined as estimated GFR of $< 60 \text{ ml/min/1.73m}^2$ and or proteinuria of $\geq 1+$ was seen in 36 (14.6%) of the subjects. Classification of CKD in accordance with KDOQI guideline based on the gender is as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Classification of CKD in the population based on gender Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR)

Gender	≥ 90	60 – 89	30– 59	15 - 29	< 15	Total
Male	116	68	2	1	0	53
Female	37	13	8	0	1	193
Total	153	81	10	1	1	246

Prevalence of risk factors of CKD in the population is indicated in Table 2 showing known diabetic and hypertensive among the studied population was 17 (6.9%) and 76 (30.8%) while obesity and blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmhg occurred in 61 (25.3%) and 84 (34.4%) respectively.

Using Univariate analysis to examine how this risk factors predict CKD in the population, all the factors were not predictive of CKD in the population (Table 3).

Table 2: Prevalence of risk factors for kidney injury

Risk Factors	Yes (%)	No (%)	Total (%)
Known diabetic	17 (6.9%)	229 (93.1%)	246 (100%)
Known hypertensive	76 (30.8%)	170 (69.2%)	246 (100%)
Smokes cigarette	1 (0.4%)	245 (99.6%)	246 (100%)
Consumes concoction	123 (50.0%)	123 (50.0%)	246 (100%)
Drinks alcohol	1 (0.4%)	245 (99.6%)	246 (100%)
Obesity	61 (25.3%)	185 (74.7%)	246 (100%)
Blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmhg		84 (34.4%)	162 (65.6%)
	246 (100%)		
Microalbuminuria	4 (1.6%)	242 (98.4%)	246 (100%)

Table 3: Univariate analysis of risk factors of kidney injury

Risk factors	Odds ratio	95% CI	P
Male gender	1.415	0.616 – 3.252	0.414
Known hypertensive	5.625	0.699 – 45.277	0.105
Known Diabetic	1.296	0.292 – 5.657	0.740
Obesity	0.340	0.292 – 5.657	0.322
Blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmhg		0.750	0.360 – 1.563
	0.443		
Alcohol consumption	0.000	0.002 – 0.017	1.000
Smokes cigarette	0.000	0.002 – 0.017	1.000
Consume concoction	1.879	0.004 – 0.167	0.061
Microalbuminuria	2.059	0.208 – 20.371	0.573

DISCUSSIONS

The prevalence of kidney injury in Mokwa community from this study was 14.6%. This implies 1 of every 7 adult in this community suffer some form of kidney injury, inferring from this it implies that as at 2018 the adult population of Nigeria is estimated at 81 million²⁰, inferring from this study it implies 11.8 million of the Nigerian population may have kidney injury. This is worrisome being that most Nigerians are unaware of this disease as substantiated in Oluyombo et al's KAP'S study on the subject²¹.

This burden is higher than what was reported from communities in South-East and South-South Nigeria^{22, 23}. Though Egbi et al's study was in an urban area and the subjects were civil servants in Bayelsa state²¹. Our prevalence is higher than that reported for hospital based studies in Nigeria¹²⁻¹⁴ thereby substantiating the fact that patients presenting with kidney injury in our hospitals are just a tip of the iceberg of the magnitude of undiagnosed individuals suffering kidney injury in the community. Prevalence from continents globally range from 6.4 – 8.5% though most of these studies recruited a larger population than ours^{8, 24-28}. The higher burden been reported in our study may be related to increased APOL 1 gene variants which has been adduced for higher risk of CKD among black population²⁹.

Proteinuria (e⁺1+) and haematuria (e⁺1+) was seen in 10.6% and 13.4% of participants in this study respectively. This has been reported to be equally high in previous community studies substantiating their validity as markers of community acquired kidney injury and as useful screening tools^{22, 23 & 30}.

Consumption of traditional concoction was common among the participants and was found in 50% of the subjects. Though no toxicology studies have been done on concoction commonly consumed in this community but there are documentations that have demonstrated vulnerability of the kidneys to exogenous toxins such as concoctions³¹. Kadiri *et al* reported that local concoction accounted for 37.5% of aetiology of acute tubular necrosis in a South-Western Nigeria study³⁰. Hypertension was high among the participants with known hypertensive accounting for 30.8% and those detected during the study accounted for 34.4% of the participants. This may be an indication of high rate of undiagnosed

hypertensive patients who have not been on treatment for hypertension and may present with complications such as kidney injury that may progress to CKD. A similar picture was seen in a community study in Ile-Ife with higher rate of newly diagnosed study participants with hypertension compared to known hypertensive patients among their study respondents³⁰.

On univariate analysis all risk factors studied did not predict CKD. This finding is similar to a Congolese study that reported no association between CKD and known risk factors such as diabetic mellitus, hypertension and obesity³². A similar finding was reported by Egbi et al in South-Southern, Nigeria²³. This may be a pointer to the mixed picture in regards to aetiology of kidney injury in this community, contrary to earlier documentations that have adduced it mostly to diseases such as hypertension and diabetes⁴.

Limitations of Study

This study is an observational study and no randomization of sampling was done making it difficult to generalize the findings. Though a community study, inability to obtain same data 3 months later makes this study fall short for description of CKD in the participants. However, the fact that these respondents had no acute symptoms make them appropriate to be labelled at risk of CKD. Blood sugar measurement was not done which could have afforded us opportunity to detect diabetes mellitus among the subjects. Diabetes mellitus has been documented as the most common cause of end stage renal disease in North America and Europe³⁴. Dipstick protein detection was done in our study, it is however less sensitive compared to protein: creatinine ratio (PCR), likewise early morning urine is more reliable in order to reduce orthostatic proteinuria among participants. Finally, imaging studies of the kidney and urinary tract would have contributed to detecting chronic kidney disease among participants with GFR e⁺60mls/min/1.73m².

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study is one of the few community studies on this subject in this part of Nigeria. The findings demonstrate a high burden of kidney injury within the community and compared to most other studies in Nigeria the burden found was higher.

Prevalence of risk factors of kidney injury such as proteinuria, high blood pressure, obesity and consumption of local concoction was high though this risk factors were not predictive of CKD in the population.

There is an urgent need to declare this condition as a public health problem. More community studies should be carried out especially in Northern Nigeria to further understand how risk factors lead to CKD. Continuous medical education on kidney diseases and its risk should be done in the community especially for Primary Health care workers to increase early detection and prompt management.

REFERENCES

1. Makris K and Spanou L. Acute kidney injury; definition, pathophysiology and clinical phenotypes. *Clin Biochem Rev* 2016; 37: 85 – 98.
2. Global, regional and National age-sex specific cause of mortality for 240 causes of death 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the global burden of disease study 2013. *Lancet* 2015; 387: 117-171.
3. AbdulHafeez S, Bolignano D, D'Arrigo G, Dounousi E, Tripepi G and Zocelli C. Prevalence and burden of chronic kidney disease among the general population and high risk groups in Africa: a systematic review. *BMJ open* 2018, 8:e015069.doi: 10.1136/
4. El-Nahas AM, Bello AK. Chronic kidney disease: the global challenge. *Lancet* 2005, 365: 331-340.
5. Levey AS, Atkins R, Coresh J, Cohen EP, Collins AJ, Eckardt KU et al. Chronic kidney diseases a global public health problem; approaches and initiative – a position statement from Kidney diseases improving global outcomes. *Kidney Int*, 72: 247 – 259.
6. Jha V and Parameswaran S. Community acquired acute kidney injury in tropical countries. *Nat Rev. Nephrol.* 2013; 9: 278 – 290.
7. Stanifer JW, Jing B, Tolan S, Helnke N, Mukarjee R, Naicker S *et al.* The epidemiology of chronic kidney disease in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2014; 2.
8. Coresh J, Astor BC, Greene T, Eknoyan G and Levey AS. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease and decreased kidney function in the adult United States population. Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2003; 41: 1 -12.
9. Nitsch D, Felbar Dietrich D, Von Eakardsten A, Gaspoz JM, Downs SH et al. Prevalence of renal impairment and its association with cardiovascular risk factors in General population. Results of the Swiss SAPALDIA study. *Nephrol Dial Transplantation* 2006; 21; 935-944.
10. Konta T, Hao Z, Abiko H, Ishikawa M, Takahashi T, Ikida A et al. Prevalence and risk factors analysis of microalbuminuria in Japanese general population. The Takahita study. *Kidney Int* 2006, 70: 751-756.
11. McDonald SP, Maguire GP, Hoy WE. Renal function and cardiovascular markers in a remote Australian Aboriginal community. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2003; 18: 1555-1561.
12. Alebiosu CO, Ayodele OO, Abbas A, Olutoyin AI. Chronic renal failure at Olabisi Onabanjo University Teaching Hospital, Sagamu, Nigeria. *African Health Sciences* 2006; 63: 132-138.
13. Odubanjo MO, Oluwasola AO and Kadri S. The epidemiology of end stage renal disease in Nigeria: the way forward. *Int UrolNephrol* 2011, 43(3): 785-792.
14. Ulasi IF and Ijeoma CK. The enormity of chronic kidney disease in Nigeria. The situation in a Teaching Hospital in South-East Nigeria. *Journal of tropical Medicine* 2010. Article ID 501957.
15. Brinkhoff T. Niger state, Nigeria- Population, Statistics, Charts, Maps and Locations. Available online: <https://www.citypopulation.de>php.nigeria.ng/>
16. World Health Organization consultation on obesity. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. (WHO Technical Report Series 894). World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland 2000.
17. World Health Organization. International society of hypertension writing group 2003.

- World Health Organization / International Society of Hypertension (ISH) statement in management of hypertension. *J Hypertens* 2003; 21: 1983-1992.
18. International society of Nephrology programme for detection and management of chronic kidney disease, hypertension, diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease in developing countries (KHDC PROGRAM), International Society of Nephrology, Brussels 2005: pg 1719.
 19. Levey AS, Stevens LA, Schmd CH, Zheg YZ, Castro AF, Feldman HI. A new equation to estimate GFR-Ann Inter Med 2009. 150 (9): 604-612.
 20. Nigeria demographic profile (2018). Available online: <https://www.indexmundi.com> (Assessed on 8th December 2018).
 21. Oluyombo R, Ayodele OE, Akinwusi PO, Okunola OO, Gbadegesin BA, Soje MO et al. Awareness, knowledge and perception of chronic kidney disease in a rural community of South-West, Nigeria. *Nigeria Journal of Clinical Practice* 2016. 19: 161- 169
 22. Ulasi II, Ijeoma CK, Onodugo OD, Arodiwe EB, Ifebunandu NA, Okoye JU. Towards prevention of chronic kidney disease in Nigeria: A community based study in South-East, Nigeria. *Kidney International Supplements* 2013. 3(2): 195-201.
 23. Egbi OG, Okafor UH, Miebodei KE, Kasu BE, Kunle-Olowu DE and Unuigbe EI. Prevalence and correlate of chronic kidney disease among civil servants in Bayelsa state, Nigeria. *Nigeria Journal of Clinical Practice* 2014, 17: 602-607.
 24. Amato D, Alcaarez-Aguilar C, Castaneda-Limonos R, Rodriguez E, Avila-Diaz M, Areola F et al. Prevalence of kidney disease in an urban Mexican population. *Kidney International Supplements* 2005; 97: S11- 17.
 25. Shanker A, Klein R and Klein BE. The association among smokers and heavy drinkers with chronic kidney disease. *Am J Epidemiol* 2006; 164: 263 -271.
 26. Domrongkitchaiporn S, Sritaral P, Kitiyara C, Stitchantrakul W, Krittaphol V, Lotekha P et al. Risk factors for development of decreased kidney function in a South East Asia population. A 12 year cohort study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 2005; 16: 791-799.
 27. McDonald SP, Maguire GP, Hoy WE. Renal function and cardiovascular risk markers in a remote Australian Aboriginal community. *Nephrology Dial Transplant* 2003; 18: 1555 – 1561.
 28. Cirillo M, Lombardi C, Mele AA, Marcarelli F and Bilancio G. A population- based approach for the definition of chronic kidney disease: the CKD prognosis consortium. *J Nephrol.* 2012; 25: 7 -12.
 29. Ulasi I, Tzur S, Wagger WG, Shemer R, Krul E, Feigvi E et al. High population frequencies of APOL 1 risk variants are associated with increased prevalence of non-diabetic chronic kidney disease in the Igbo people from South-eastern Nigeria. *Nephron Clin Pract* 2013; 23: 123 – 128.
 30. Fatiu A, Abubakr S, Muzamil H, Aderoju G, Funmilayo OO, Bola O et al. Undiagnosed hypertension and proteinuria in a market population in Ile Ife, Nigeria. *Arab J Nephrol Transplant* 2011, 4: 141 – 146.
 31. Perazella MA. Renal vulnerability to drug toxicity. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 2009; 4: 1275 – 1283.
 32. Kadiri S, Ogunlesi A, Osinfade K and Akinkugbe OO. The causes and course of acute tubular necrosis in Nigerians. *Afr J Med Sci* 1992; 21: 91 -96.
 33. Bukabau JB, Makulo JR, Pakassa NM, Cohen EP, Lepira FB, Kayemba PK et al. Chronic kidney disease among high school students of Kinshasha. *BMC Nephrol* 2012; 13: 24.
 34. American diabetes Association. Nephropathy in diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2004; 27: S79 – S83.